

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/954,717	09/954,717 09/17/2001		Kenneth Noddings	P051	7607		
25784	7590	06/24/2005		EXAMINER			
MICHAEL	MICHAEL O. SCHEINBERG				CHAN, SING P		
P.O. BOX 164140 AUSTIN, TX 78716-4140		5-4140	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
71001111, 1	,,,,,	, , , , , ,		1734			

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/954,717	NODDINGS ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Sing P. Chan	1734			

before the filling of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
·	Sing P. Chan	1734							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment or this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of									
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no , will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanation and some since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered I	hecause						
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		, so to a stantio.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate		_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:	•								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-13,19-23,38 and 45-60</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-16, 25, 27-33</u>	<u>and 42-44</u> .		,						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	· ·	, ,,	•						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
	t does NOT prace the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
	•								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitation of "after the formable material is hardened" and the light path including two ends will require additional search and consideration.

CHRIS FIORILA SUPERVISORY PATENT SUMINER

Au 1734